WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

U	NITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	OPDED OF DETENTION DENDING TOTAL	
		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
<del></del>	Efrain Delatorre-Hernandez	Case Number: <u>11-08500M-001</u>	
and was repr	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 resented by counsel. I conclude by a preparation of the second second in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on May 12, 2011. Defendant was presen conderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a pro	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
<b>⊠</b>		United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
		arged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
$\boxtimes$	, and the state of		
	The defendant has no significant co	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.	
The of	the nearing in this matter, except as no		
4		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defer		
2.		itions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
Tho		ONS REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections appeal. The o of the United	facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for th	f the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in e, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending e opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court ne Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the use of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
		S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of thi of the motion for review/reconsideration	s detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to n to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release incently in advance of the hearing befor e potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial be the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE: <u>Ma</u> y			
DATE IVIQ	7 16, 6VII	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge	